

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ATLANTIC RECORDING CORPORATION, a  
Delaware corporation; ARISTA RECORDS LLC,  
a Delaware limited liability company; BMG  
MUSIC, a New York general partnership;  
CAPITOL RECORDS, INC., a Delaware  
corporation; ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT  
GROUP INC., a Delaware corporation;  
FONOVisA, INC., a California corporation;  
INTERSCOPE RECORDS, a California general  
partnership; LAFACE RECORDS LLC, a  
Delaware limited liability company; LAVA  
RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited liability  
company; MAVERICK RECORDING  
COMPANY, a California joint venture;  
MOTOWN RECORD COMPANY, L.P., a  
California limited partnership; PRIORITY  
RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited liability  
company; SONY BMG MUSIC  
ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware general  
partnership; UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a  
Delaware corporation; VIRGIN RECORDS  
AMERICA, INC., a California corporation;  
WARNER BROS. RECORDS INC., a Delaware  
corporation; and ZOMBA RECORDING LLC, a  
Delaware limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

DOES 1 - 38,

Defendants.

x

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

x

Civil Action No.:

USDS SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 5-5-08

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR  
LEAVE TO TAKE IMMEDIATE DISCOVERY**

Upon the Plaintiffs' *Ex Parte* Application for Leave to Take Immediate  
Discovery, the Declaration of Carlos Linares, and the accompanying Memorandum of Law, it is  
hereby:

ORDERED that Plaintiffs may serve immediate discovery on Time Warner Cable  
to obtain the identity of each Doe Defendant by serving a Rule 45 subpoena that seeks

documents that identify each Doe Defendant, including the name, current (and permanent) addresses and telephone numbers, e-mail addresses, and Media Access Control addresses for each Defendant. ~~The disclosure of this information is consistent with Time Warner Cable's obligations under 47 U.S.C. § 551(e)(2)(B).~~

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT any information disclosed to Plaintiffs in response to the Rule 45 subpoena may be used by Plaintiffs solely for the purpose of protecting Plaintiffs' rights under the Copyright Act.

DATED: 14 March 2008

By:   
United States District Judge  
Victor Marrero